	A P 0 34	A P
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,130	Applicant(s) TADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) THOMAS A. MORRISON.	(3)	
(2) Mr. David Connor (Reg. No. 59,868).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 March 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 19, 22		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 2,249,504 (Trydal)		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/657,130

Contacted Mr. Connor (applicant's representative) on 3/4/2008 to discuss proposed amendments to clearly define independent claims 1 and 19 of applicant's 2/15/2008 amendment over a newly discovered reference (i.e., U.S. Patent No. 2,249,504) and to make the language of dependent claims 9 and 22 consistent with the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 19. On 3/10/2008, Mr. Connor called back and left a message approving such changes to the claims. On 3/14/2008, the examiner called back Mr. Connor to confirm the changes to be made to the claims, as per the attached examiner's amendment.